






SHORT COMMUNICATION

Influence of milking units and working vacuum level on the mechanical milking of goats

Maria Caria¹  | Carlo Boselli²  | Angela Calvo³  | Silverio Grande⁴  |
Giuseppe Todde¹ 

¹Department of Agricultural Sciences,
University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy

²Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio
e della Toscana, Rome, Italy

³Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e
Alimentari, University of Turin, Torino, Italy

⁴Associazione Nazionale della Pastorizia,
Rome, Italy

Correspondence

Maria Caria, Department of Agricultural
Sciences, University of Sassari, Viale Italia
39/A, 07100, Sassari, Italy.
Email: maria@uniss.it

Abstract

The objectives of this study were to evaluate and compare the effect of working vacuum levels (35 and 44 kPa) and liners dimensions (mouthpiece lip diameter and overall length, 20–185 and 22–170 mm) on the main milking characteristics of goats. The results highlight that both the working vacuum level and the liner dimension have influenced the milk flow curve parameters. The maximum variations were found for peak flow rate, which increased significantly with liner dimensions of 20–185 mm at a working vacuum level of 44 kPa as well as average milk flow rate and for plateau phase duration. The incorrect adoption of operative parameters and unsuitable milking machine components, might affect the performance of the mechanical milking and negatively affecting animal productions and welfare.

KEYWORDS

goat, liner, milk flow curve, milkability, working vacuum

1 | INTRODUCTION

The milking machine is one of the main innovative technologies that allowed to increase the productivity of work in dairy farms. However, mechanical milking remains capable of generating induced effects that are decisively reflected on animal management (Díaz et al., 2013; Hamann et al., 1994). The fine-tuning of the milking machine through the settings of the operative parameters allows adapting the mechanical action of the system to the animal species, whereas the correct sizing and choice of the system components contribute to the regular milking process in terms of vacuum stability, milking times, and animal comfort.

The working vacuum level is one of the milking parameters that are regulated at different levels depending on the type of the machine milking and the specific milk emission curve of the bred species. As observed in Italian goat farms, the working vacuum level is generally set between 41 and 44 kPa, and only a small percentage of systems

milks at 37–41 kPa (Pazzona & Murgia, 2003), whereas lowest values (35 kPa) were found by Billon et al. (1999).

The technical and scientific knowledge on milking units, with specific reference to the physical properties of the liner design, influence the milking of the different species, as has been shown by specific studies carried out on dairy cows (Mein et al., 1983; Zucali et al., 2009) and on dairy sheep and goats (Alejandro et al., 2014; Le Du, 1982, 1985).

The liners available on the market have different shapes and dimensions for the same dairy species, in accordance with the morphological differences of the mammary gland (Strapák et al., 2018; Tancin et al., 2006). Milking liners with a narrow opening can generate a compression at the base of the teat. On the contrary, a wide opening can cause the liner climbing in high working vacuum settings. The liner length also affects the number of intramammary infections and compromises the benefits of the pulsation on the teat (Mein et al., 1983).



On the whole, incorrect management techniques constitute important stressful causes for animals, producing negative effects on the health status, on the quality of the productions and on their milkability (Labussière, 1984; Zucali et al., 2019).

To investigate this problem, the present study aims to highlight the results of comparative tests carried out to evaluate the effect of the working vacuum levels and the dimensions of the liners on the main milking characteristics of the goats.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out on a goat dairy farm located in Italy over a period of 8 days. A total of 42 Alpine goats of different parity (2.86 ± 1.34) and at different Days In Milking (DIM: 80.00 ± 13.23) were milked twice a day in 20 stalls milking parlor equipped with clusters Almatic™ (Delaval, Tumba, Sweden). During the trials, two working vacuum levels (35 and 44 kPa) and two different type of liners, which were distinguished by the mouthpiece lip diameter and overall length (20–185 and 22–170 mm), were tested. The liners were both conical, made of synthetic rubber and specifically produced for goats by Delaval (Tumba, Sweden). The milking machine was set at a 90 cycles/min pulsator rate and a 50% pulsator ratio. Milk flow curves were recorded and milk samples were collected after milking from all of the animals in lactation on different combinations of working vacuum and liner dimensions. The treatments designed were: 44 kPa and 20–185 mm; 44 kPa and 22–170 mm; 35 kPa and 22–170 mm; 35 kPa and 20–185 mm. Specifically, the 42 goats received the same treatment per two consecutive days (four milkings). Among the 672 recorded milk flow curves, 12 (1.8%) were discarded as they did not achieve the minimum necessary flow to return the main parameters. Thus, at the end of the test, a total of 660 flow curves were analyzed, and as many qualitative-quantitative milk samples, to evaluate the effect of four different combinations of working vacuum and liner dimensions on milk fractioning, milk flow, milking time and milk quality.

2.1 | Milk flow curves

The milk flow curves were recorded during the morning and evening milking sessions using two electronic mobile milk flow meters (LactoCorder®; WMB, Balgach, Switzerland), as used in previous studies with goats measurement program (Bašić et al., 2009; Caria et al., 2013; Šlyžienė et al., 2016; Zucali et al., 2019). Variables measured per milking were the following:

Milk yield (MY, kg): total milk yield per head per milking from the beginning to the end of the mechanical milking; 1MY (kg): milk yield from the beginning of measurement to completion of 1 min measuring time; 2MY (kg): milk yield from the beginning of measurement to completion of 2 min measuring time; 3MY (kg): milk yield from the beginning of measurement to completion of 3 min measuring time; Effective Milking Time (EMT, min): between attaching the teat cup and reaching the value of 0.20 kg/min at the end of milking; Peak

Flow Time (PFT, min): between attaching the teat cup and reaching the peak flow; Milk Ejection Time (MET, min): from milk flow rate >0.25 kg/min until milk flow decreased below 0.20 kg/min; Time of Plateau Phase (PPT, min): the time of phase with a high constant milk flow, from the vertex of the incline phase to the vertex of the decline phase; Lag Time (LT, min): from the beginning of measurement until a 0.25 kg/min threshold in the milk flow was reached; Peak Flow Rate (PFR kg/min) in the main milking process within a time interval of eight measuring points (22.4 s); Average milk Flow Rate (AFR, kg/min): during milk ejection time.

2.2 | Milk samples

At each milking a milk sample representative of the whole milking was automatically collected by Lactocorder for each animal to be analyzed for milk quality parameters. After collection, milk samples were refrigerated (5°C) and transported to the milk laboratory of Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e Toscana (Rome, Italy). Content of fat (%), protein (%), lactose (%), casein (%), and milk urea nitrogen (MUN) (mg/dl) were detected by MilkoScan™ FT6000 (FOSS Electric, Hillerød, Denmark) using FTIR spectrophotometry calibrated with appropriate goat standards. Somatic cell count (SCC) (n/ml) were detected by Fossomatic FC (FOSS Electric, Hillerød, Denmark) using a fluoro-optometric method. To achieve a normal distribution, a logarithmic transformation (\log_{10}) was applied to SCC (Ali & Shook, 1980).

2.3 | Statistical analysis

To evaluate the effect of the working vacuum levels, liner dimensions, and their interaction on the main milking characteristics of the goats, the data were analyzed by the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), with the following mixed linear model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + V_i + D_j + (V \times D)_{k} + A_l + E_{ijkl}$$

where

V_i = fixed effect of the working vacuum (35, 42 kPa),

D_j = fixed effect of liner dimensions (20–185, 22–170 mm),

$(V \times D)_k$ = fixed effect of the working vacuum \times diameter interaction,

A_l = random effect of animal,

E_{ijkl} = random residual.

3 | RESULTS

In general, both the working vacuum level and the liner dimensions have influenced, though to different degrees, the milk flow curve parameters (Table 1).

TABLE 1 Effect of vacuum level (kPa) and liner dimension (mm) on milk and milking parameters (LS-mean \pm standard error)

Variables	Vacuum (kPa)		<i>p</i> value	Liner dimensions (mm)		<i>p</i> value
	35	44		20–185	22–170	
MY (kg)	1.24 \pm 0.027 ^a	1.05 \pm 0.027 ^b	0.000	1.15 \pm 0.027 ^a	1.14 \pm 0.027 ^a	0.720
1MY (kg)	0.67 \pm 0.017 ^b	0.73 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.000	0.73 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.67 \pm 0.017 ^b	0.002
2MY (kg)	1.05 \pm 0.022 ^a	0.94 \pm 0.022 ^b	0.000	1.02 \pm 0.022 ^c	0.97 \pm 0.022 ^d	0.049
3MY (kg)	1.16 \pm 0.024 ^a	0.98 \pm 0.024 ^b	0.000	1.09 \pm 0.024 ^a	1.05 \pm 0.024 ^a	0.155
EMT (min)	2.51 \pm 0.059 ^a	2.13 \pm 0.059 ^b	0.000	2.22 \pm 0.059 ^b	2.42 \pm 0.059 ^a	0.000
PFT (min)	1.35 \pm 0.050 ^a	0.90 \pm 0.050 ^b	0.000	1.04 \pm 0.050 ^b	1.21 \pm 0.050 ^a	0.000
MET (min)	1.92 \pm 0.058 ^a	1.35 \pm 0.058 ^b	0.000	1.63 \pm 0.058 ^a	1.64 \pm 0.058 ^a	0.889
PPT (min)	0.93 \pm 0.048 ^a	0.40 \pm 0.048 ^b	0.000	0.52 \pm 0.048 ^b	0.80 \pm 0.048 ^a	0.000
LT (min)	0.37 \pm 0.018 ^a	0.36 \pm 0.018 ^a	0.628	0.33 \pm 0.018 ^b	0.39 \pm 0.018 ^a	0.002
PFR (kg/min)	0.75 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.77 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.366	0.79 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.73 \pm 0.017 ^b	0.001
AFR (kg/min)	0.61 \pm 0.016 ^b	0.69 \pm 0.016 ^a	0.000	0.67 \pm 0.016 ^a	0.63 \pm 0.016 ^b	0.015
Casein (%)	2.21 \pm 0.026 ^b	2.34 \pm 0.026 ^a	0.000	2.30 \pm 0.026 ^a	2.25 \pm 0.026 ^b	0.006
Lactose (%)	4.21 \pm 0.017 ^b	4.37 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.000	4.25 \pm 0.017 ^b	4.33 \pm 0.017 ^a	0.000
Fat (%)	3.43 \pm 0.047 ^b	3.62 \pm 0.047 ^a	0.002	3.46 \pm 0.047 ^b	3.58 \pm 0.047 ^a	0.048
Protein (%)	3.01 \pm 0.029 ^b	3.10 \pm 0.029 ^a	0.000	3.06 \pm 0.029 ^a	3.06 \pm 0.029 ^a	0.953
Urea (mg/dl)	43.90 \pm 0.533 ^b	45.56 \pm 0.534 ^a	0.001	43.09 \pm 0.532 ^b	46.37 \pm 0.534 ^a	0.000
SCC (Log ₁₀)	5.36 \pm 0.046 ^a	5.30 \pm 0.046 ^a	0.094	5.39 \pm 0.046 ^a	5.27 \pm 0.046 ^b	0.000

Note: MY: Milk Yield; 1MY: milk yield of first minute; 2MY: milk yield of 2 min; 3MY: milk yield of three; EMT: Effective Milking Time; PFT: Peak Flow Time; MET: Milk Ejection Time; PPT: Time of Plateau Phase; LT: Lag Time; PFR: Peak Flow Rate; AFR: Average milk Flow Rate; SCC: Somatic Cell Count. a–d: Means within a row with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

The milk yield, as well as the quantity of milk produced in the first minutes, was greater using the lower vacuum level, except for the milk obtained in the first minute of milking. As expected, the reduction in the working vacuum level led to an increase in almost all the four milking time variables. Instead, the lag time to 0.25 kg did not show significant differences between the two working vacuum levels considered. The variables related to milk flow rates were higher with a working vacuum of 44 kPa, specifically the peak and average flow rate.

In reference to the qualitative analyses performed on the milk samples, the fat content was higher with a working vacuum of 44 kPa (3.62%–3.43%), a similar result was found for the protein content (3.10%–3.01%), lactose (4.37%–4.21%), casein (2.34%–2.21%), and urea (45.56–43.90 mg/dl). The results obtained with the two types of liner diameter sizes also showed major differences for SCC (Log₁₀) and peak flow rate, that increased with the liner lower dimension (20–185 mm) and for lactose, urea, effective milking time, time for maximum flow and plateau phase duration that increased with a liner dimension of 22–170 mm ($p < 0.001$).

A significant interaction between the working vacuum levels and liner dimensions for milk and milking parameters measured has been found (Table 2). A higher ($p < 0.05$) increase in lactose occurred with the liner dimensions of 20–185 mm at a working vacuum level of 44 kPa, compared to measurements performed at 35 kPa.

Regarding milking parameters, the maximum variations were found for peak flow rate, which increased significantly with liner size of 20–185 mm at a vacuum level of 44 kPa as well as average milk

flow rate, and for plateau phase duration. No significant interactions between the working vacuum level and liner dimensions for the other parameters have been found. However, milk yield tended to be higher with the vacuum level of 35 kPa, (Table 2).

4 | DISCUSSION

The study showed that the effective milking time was less than 15% using the highest working vacuum level (44 kPa). The reduction of the effective milking time would determine an increase in terms of throughput of the milking system of about 12 goats/h, simulating a milking routine without pre-stimulation and using automatic cluster removers (Caria et al., 2011). The results obtained showed that reducing the working vacuum level up to 35 kPa did not significantly change the duration of the lag time (about 20 s), in agreement with the results found for other dairy species (Atigui et al., 2014; Caria et al., 2012). The increase in milking times, related to the main phase and the maximum peak flow, probably, affected the significant growth in the amount of total milk obtained per animal using a working vacuum level of 35 kPa rather than of 44 kPa, as also found by other authors (Caria et al., 2011, 2012; Zucali et al., 2019). The values found for the average milk flow in the main milking phase increased with the increase in the working vacuum by 13.1%, as confirmed by other studies carried out on dairy goats (Zucali et al., 2019) and on other dairy species (Besier & Bruckmaier, 2016).

**TABLE 2** Interaction of working vacuum level (kPa) and liner dimensions (mm) on milk and milking parameters

Variables	20–185			22–170			Vacuum × diameter p value
	35	44	s.e.d.	35	44	s.e.d.	
MY (kg)	1.25	1.05	0.038	1.22	1.05	0.039	0.608
1MY (kg)	0.68	0.77	0.024	0.65	0.69	0.025	0.267
2MY (kg)	1.08	0.96	0.033	1.02	0.93	0.034	0.516
3MY (kg)	1.19	0.99	0.038	1.14	0.97	0.038	0.493
EMT (min)	2.43	2.00	0.080	2.59	2.26	0.081	0.403
PFT (min)	1.26	0.81	0.066	1.44	0.98	0.066	0.940
MET (min)	1.95	1.32	0.073	1.90	1.38	0.073	0.330
PPT (min)	0.83 ^b	0.20 ^d	0.067	1.02 ^a	0.59 ^c	0.068	0.037
LT (min)	0.32	0.34	0.026	0.41	0.37	0.026	0.160
PFR (kg/min)	0.77	0.80	0.024	0.73	0.73	0.024	0.378
AFR (kg/min)	0.61 ^b	0.73 ^a	0.021	0.60 ^b	0.66 ^b	0.022	0.033
Casein (%)	2.23	2.37	0.022	2.20	2.31	0.02	0.217
Lactose (%)	4.12 ^c	4.38 ^a	0.020	4.30 ^b	4.35 ^{ab}	0.02	0.000
Fat (%)	3.38	3.54	0.087	3.48	3.69	0.09	0.665
Protein (%)	3.01	3.10	0.024	3.02	3.10	0.02	0.899
Urea (mg/dL)	41.00 ^b	45.18 ^a	0.714	46.80 ^a	45.94 ^a	0.72	0.000
SCC (Log10)	5.43	5.36	0.046	5.29	5.25	0.05	0.764

Note: MY: Milk Yield; 1MY: milk yield of first minute; 2MY: milk yield of 2 min; 3MY: milk yield of three; EMT: Effective Milking Time; PFT: Peak Flow Time; MET: Milk Ejection Time; PPT: Time of Plateau Phase; LT: Lag Time; PFR: Peak Flow Rate; AFR: Average milk Flow Rate; SCC: Somatic Cell Count. a–d: Means within a row with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

The milking vacuum level set at 44 kPa compared to 35 kPa allowed to obtain higher values for all the variables related to the composition of the milk, with the exception of the SCC. In particular, the largest increase occurred in Casein + 5.9% and Fat + 5.5% (Lactose + 3.4%, Protein + 3.0%, Urea + 3.8%). The content of fat in milk was significantly affected by the vacuum level as found also by Zucali et al. (2019). The SCCs, on the other hand, showed no difference with the variation of the working vacuum levels, confirming what other studies on small ruminants have found (Fernández et al., 2019; Zucali et al., 2019).

From the values obtained, the liners with a smaller mouthpiece lip diameter/overall length ratio (0.11 vs. 0.13) provided better milking performance in terms of effective milking time. In fact, liners with a smaller mouthpiece lip diameter significantly decrease the milk lag time by 15.4%, keeping the milk ejection time unchanged. Liners with a longer body and narrower mouthpieces provided better performance in terms of cheese yield (Casein + 2.22%). In particular, a negative aspect was highlighted in the milk with this type of liner (mouthpiece lip diameter/body length ratio 0.11), where the amount of SCC increased significantly.

The results obtained show that the interaction between the liner and the working vacuum is statistically significant only for the plateau phase duration ($p \leq 0.05$), average milk flow rate ($p \leq 0.05$), lactose, and urea ($p \leq 0.001$). Table 2 shows that the effects produced by the 44 kPa and 20–185 mm thesis, compared to the other combinations, allowed to increase the amount of lactose, urea and average milk flow

rate (6.3%, 10.2%, and 21.7% respectively), as well as having the lower plateau phase duration (–80.4%).

The working vacuum level significantly affected the 82% of the variables considered (14 out of 17), while the mouthpieces diameter/liner length ratio influenced 77% of the variables (13 out of 17). Liner dimensions (20–185 mm vs. 22–170 mm) significantly influenced the effective milking time but not the milk yield. The working vacuum level at 35 kPa causes a greater quantity of milk in more time, while at 44 kPa determined higher values on the variables concerning the composition of the milk. The combined effect of the two parameters remained limited to 24% (four out of 17) of the variables considered.

Based on the results obtained in this study, the optimal combination of the working vacuum level and liner dimensions was 35 kPa with 20–185 mm, this arrangement allowed to have the highest milk production in the lowest milking time.

It is important to underline that, improper maintenance of the milking machine, specifically an incorrect adoption of liner type and operative parameters, might affect the performance of the mechanical milking such as milking time and milk yield. Thus, the introduction of standardized indicators, specific to each species, will support the application of livestock production techniques more suitable to animal welfare.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the University of Sassari with the Fund for the Financing of Academic Research Activities, 2020. Open Access

Funding provided by Università degli Studi di Sassari within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. [Correction added on 19 May 2022, after first online publication: CRUI funding statement has been added.]

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Maria Caria  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6393-958X>

Carlo Boselli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3990-1063>

Angela Calvo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0560-7904>

Silverio Grande  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8685-6202>

Giuseppe Todde  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3293-2705>

REFERENCES

- Alejandro, M., Roca, A., Romero, G., & Díaz, J. D. (2014). Effects of over-milking and liner type and characteristics on teat tissue in small ruminants. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 81, 215–222. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029914000077>
- Ali, A., & Shook, G.E. (1980). An optimum transformation for somatic cell concentration in milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 63, 487–490. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(80\)82959-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(80)82959-6)
- Atigui, M., Marnet, P. G., Barmat, A., Khorchani, T., & Hammadi, M. (2014). Effects of vacuum level and pulsation rate on milk ejection and milk flow traits in Tunisian dairy camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47, 201–206.
- Bašić, Z., Džidić, A., & Kostelić, A. (2009). The effect of prestimulation on milking characteristics during machine milking of goat. *Mljekarstvo*, 59, 83–87.
- Besier, J., & Bruckmaier, R. M. (2016). Vacuum levels and milk-flow-dependent vacuum drops affect machine milking performance and teat condition in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99, 3096–3102. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10340>
- Billon, P., Ronningen, O., Sangiorgi, F., & Schuiling, E. (1999). Quantitative requirements of milking installations for small ruminants: Survey in different countries. In *Milking and Milk Production of Dairy Sheep and Goats* (pp. 209–215). Wageningen: Wageningen Pers; 1998a; 209–215. EAAP Publication no 95.
- Caria, M., Murgia, L., & Pazzona, A. (2011). Effects of the working vacuum level on mechanical milking of buffalo. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 1755–1761. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3134>
- Caria, M., Boselli, C., Murgia, L., Rosati, R., & Pazzona, A. (2012). Effect of vacuum level on milk flow traits in Mediterranean Italian buffalo cow. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 11, 137–139. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2012.e25>
- Caria, M., Boselli, C., Murgia, L., Rosati, R., & Pazzona, A. (2013). Influence of low vacuum levels on milking characteristics of sheep, goat and buffalo. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 44, 217–220. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jae.2013.285>
- Díaz, J.R., Alejandro, M., Peris, C., & Fernández, N. (2013). Use of ultrasound scanning to estimate teat wall thickness in Murciano-Granadina goats. *Livestock Science*, 155, 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.04.007>
- Fernández, N., Martí, J.V., Rodríguez, M., Peris, C., & Balasch, S. (2019). Machine milking parameters for Murciano-Granadina breed goats. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103, 507–513. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-16446>
- Hamann, J., Burvenich, C., Mayntz, M., Osteras, O., & Haider, W. (1994). Machine-induced changes in the status of the bovine teat with respect to the new infection risk. *Teat tissue reactions to machine milking and new infection Risk* (Vol. 297, pp. 13–22). IDF.
- Labussière, J. (1984). Projet d'étude des caractéristiques de traite des différentes races de brebis laitières exploitées dans le Bassin Méditerranéen. In *3rd International Symposium on the Milking of Small Ruminants* (pp. 768–790). Valladolid, Spain: Sever-Cuesta.
- Le Du, J. (1982). Comparaisons de matériel de traite pour brebis: manchons en silicone ou en caoutchouc, gobelets en acier inoxydable ou en plastique. *Annales de Zootechnie*, 31, 139–148. <https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:19820204>
- Le Du, J. (1985). Parameters de fonctionnement affectant l'efficacité des machines à traire pour brebis. Additif concernant la chèvre. In “36ème Reunion Annuelle de la Fédération Européenne de Zootechnie”. Kallithea, Grèce, 30 sept.-3 oct.
- Mein, G. A., Brown, M. R., & Williams, D. M. (1983). Pulsation failure as a consequence of milking with short teatcup liners. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 50, 249–258. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029900023086>
- Pazzona, A., & Murgia, L. (2003). Stato attuale degli impianti per la mungitura di ovini e caprini. *Informatore Zootecnico, Supplemento al*, n.12, 42–50.
- Šlyžienė, B., Juozaitienė, V., & Šlyžius, E. (2016). Relationship between milk yield, milking parameters and udder evaluation of Czech white goats. *Veterinarija Zootechnika*, 73, 128–132.
- Strapák, P., Szencziová, I., & Strapáková, E. (2018). Measurement of teat structures of dairy cow through ultrasonography and examination of morphological changes in teats caused by machine milking. *Veterinary Medicine and Zootechnics*, 76, 62–69.
- Tančin, V., Ipema, B., Hogewerf, P., & Mačuhová, J. (2006). Sources of variation in milk flow characteristics at udder and quarter levels. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89, 978–988. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(06\)72163-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72163-4)
- Zucali, M., Bava, L., Penati, C., & Tamburini, A. (2009). Effect of liner characteristics on teat apex condition and milk flow rate. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 8, 447–449. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2009.s2.447>
- Zucali, M., Tamburini, A., Sandrucci, A., Gislon, G., & Bava, L. (2019). Effect of vacuum level on milkflow and vacuum stability in Alpine goat milking. *Small Ruminant Research*, 171, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2018.11.025>

How to cite this article: Caria, M., Boselli, C., Calvo, A., Grande, S., & Todde, G. (2021). Influence of milking units and working vacuum level on the mechanical milking of goats. *Animal Science Journal*, 92(1), e13667. <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13667>